

I find myself yet again commenting on the M&M wind farm infrastructure application with reference to the alternate route for the infrastructure and the fact it was yet again mentioned at the October hearings, which, I think, was due to persistent reference by locals!

I have attended most of the hearings and at each one this alternate route has consistently been raised & each time has equally consistently been sharply disregarded by [REDACTED] on behalf of the applicants'!

It transpires that, recently, the Applicants had attended the suggested alternate route, at this very late stage, despite the numerous occasions it had been referenced previously! However the Examining Authority visited the alternate route on 10th October 2025! at Stannah, unaccompanied, whereas an accompanied site inspection could have saved later questions! Seems very remiss on M&M's part when National Grid evidenced Stannah was a better prospect in 2008 with potential savings of £1.5bn.

The recommended approach has been a 'shared cable corridor/shared substation & export cable'. Fancy now investigating an alternate route? Has this project got anything right?

There were still no 3D scaled models since Nov 23 even though consistently requested.

'The applicants have sought the views of local communities throughout the project....' [REDACTED]. Can someone please direct me to the evidence of this? [REDACTED] Very few know of this project and definitely nothing of the impact on the area! Elderly, of whom there are plenty in this area, have been totally [REDACTED], and the locals who know are generally the ones who have really gone out of their way to find the information. Booklets/emails rec'd sometimes run into over 100 pages! & then often link to other documents with over 100pages. Events have often been in remote places, late at night or on working days. Laminated A4 sheets stapled on a stick, hammered in the ground, on poorly accessed, open grass areas, not good enough! Many other claims by Applicants

'local resident feedback' [REDACTED], nearing end of process & still no proper representations for local communities to consider. Consultation been inadequate. Models still under consideration at this late stage!

Apparently there is a disagreement between the Applicants & Natural England about the depth of drilling under the sand dunes to avoid any effects on them!

If the project is delayed this will have an impact on agreed, accepted timescales.

Apparently, there are also problems with the Applicants BRAG assessment.

The bird risk assessment @ BAe Systems was not taken into account & still remains unresolved & also concerns locals under the flight path.

I still question the viability of the Morecambe aspect of this project as it was mentioned at the hearings in early October 25 that Morecambe has no assets!

I have still not seen any plans for what happens if the money for this project runs out for either party, which seems a possibility at this stage?

Ideally the whole project needs stopping, too many reasons to list here, but failing that, Stannah seems the logical route!